

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,516	MANFREDOTTI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANNA MOMPER	3657

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANNA MOMPER. (3) ROBERT GOOZNER.
 (2) BRAD KING. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ancrenaz (US 5,067,358) and Sato et al. (US 6,592,481 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussion of how prior art is modified and applied to current claim limitations and claim limitations that could be used to read over the prior art of record (identified above).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bradley T King/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657	
---	--